

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 25, 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S012852 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Edward Maury, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including July 18, 2000.

S041008 People, Respondent

v.

Jaime Armando Hoyos, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including June 16, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S081120 In re Gerald Frank Stanley

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 23, 2000.

S086128 People, Appellant

v.

Ronald Stacy Bunn, Respondent

Upon request of respondent for appointment of counsel, Peter Dodd is hereby appointed to represent respondent on his appeal now pending in this court.

Respondent's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S086237 People, Respondent

v.

David Elmer Gaul, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lawrence Gibbs is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S086456 People, Respondent

v.

Felix Hightower, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, David Stanley is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

3rd Dist.
C035353

Walker et al.

v.

Department of Insurance

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

S024349 People, Respondent

v.

Peter Sakarias, Appellantq

In the above-entitled matter, the court filed its decision on May 27, 2000, because of the following extraordinary and compelling circumstances:

1. The size of the record on appeal (totaling more than 6000 pages).
2. The necessity to grant appellant, for good cause, eight extensions of time within which to file the appellant's opening brief and three extensions of time within which to file the appellant's reply brief.
3. The necessity to grant respondent, for good cause, two extensions of time within which to file the respondent's brief.
4. The number and complexity of the issues raised on appeal and the extensiveness of the briefing of the parties.